



RICHARDSON, TX 75083

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/804,248 03/13/2001		03/13/2001	Ashfaq Hossain	Hossain 2 7720	
47396	7590	02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
HITT GAI	NES, PC			ALI, S	YED J
AGERE SY	STEMS IN	IC.		,	
PO BOX 832570				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

2127
DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/804,248	HOSSAIN, ASHFAQ			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Syed J Ali	2127			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. s period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠ 2a)□ 3)□	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the ld drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice (3) Information	et(s) se of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) se mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ser No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 2127

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to the amendment filed October 18, 2004. Claims 1-25

are presented for examination.

2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. code not included in this office action can be

found in a prior office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on

sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-7, 9-10, 15-18, and 20-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Sodan et al. ("Hierarchical Fuzzy Configuration of Implementation

Strategies") (hereinafter Sodan).

5. As per claims 1-4, Sodan teaches the invention as claimed, including a load-balancing

unit adapted to apply fuzzy logic rules to sets of fuzzified network-related indicator values and to

generate a selection index associated with each set of indicator values (Abstract; p.257; Fig. 7),

wherein the unit comprises a load balancing switch, router, or programmed medium (p. 257).

Page 2

Art Unit: 2127

6. As per claims 5-6, Sodan teaches the invention as claimed, including the unit as in claim

Page 3

1 further adapted to direct a request to a server associated with one of the generated selection

indices (p. 250), the server being associated with a highest selection index (p. 254; Fig. 7).

7. As per claim 7, Sodan teaches the invention as claimed, including the unit as in claim 1

wherein each set of network-related indicator values is associated with a server (p. 255, 257).

8. As per claims 9-10, Sodan teaches the invention as claimed, including the unit as in claim

1 wherein the network-related indicator values comprise dynamic, time-dependent indicator

values (Abstract, p. 250, 253, 257) associated with a response time, a number of active

connections and a delivered throughput (Fig. 7).

9. As per claims 15, 16-18, and 20-21, Sodan teaches the invention as claimed, including a

method for selecting Internet servers able to be implemented on the load-balancing unit of claims

1, 5-7, and 9-10, respectively (p. 250).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

10. Claims 8 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Sodan.

11. As per claim 8, Sodan does not specifically teach the invention as claimed, including the

unit as in claim 1 wherein the fuzzy logic rules comprise 27 rules. "Official Notice" is taken that

Art Unit: 2127

Sodan implements dynamic-load balancing using 72 fuzzy-logic rules (p. 257). However, Sodan permits refining and fine-tuning of the established rules if a more specialized solution will

Page 4

perform better (p. 251, 254). In addition to Sodan permitting a modification of the number of

rules used, Applicant's specification indicates that the number of rules used is not fixed.

Specifically, Applicant states on page 10, paragraph 0035 of the specification, "A smaller or

greater number of rules may be used and still fall within the scope of the present invention".

12. As per claim 19, Sodan teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for selecting

Internet servers able to be implemented on the load-balancing unit of claim 8 (p. 250).

13. Claims 11-14 and 22-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable

over Sodan in view of Shibata et al. (USPN 5,939,925) (hereinafter Shibata).

14. As per claims 11-14, Shibata teaches the invention as claimed, including the unit as in

claim 1 further adapted to generate an area associated with each fuzzy logic rule and an

aggregate area from a combination of areas associated with the fuzzy logic rules (Abstract, col.

11 lines 35-45) and generate the selection index from a center of gravity of the aggregate area

(Abstract, col. 11 lines 35-45)

Art Unit: 2127

15. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to combine Sodan and

Shibata since Sodan fails to explicitly detail how the selection index is arrived at. Rather, the

load balancing mechanism simply distributes the request to the server that is "best" suited to

service that request based on the processing load at the moment. The "centroid" or "center of

gravity" method is well established within the realm of fuzzy logic. Shibata provides a way of

generating control variables, such as the claimed selection index, based on center of gravity

calculations generated from fuzzy logic calculations. The "center of gravity" method would be

beneficial in combination with Sodan especially since in the case where multiple servers may be

suitable to service a request, the best server can be found based on an aggregate of parameters.

16. As per claims 22-25, Sodan teaches the invention as claimed, including a method for

selecting Internet servers able to be implemented on the load-balancing unit of claims 11-14,

respectively (p. 250).

Conclusion

17. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure. Azami et al. (US 2004/0047289) and Zahir Azami (US 2004/0005041) teach

performing system-wide load balancing using fuzzy logic. Fuzzy logic is used to obtain a load

approximation that is used in a probabilistic distribution function to determine how to balance a

processing load.

Page 5

Art Unit: 2127

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Syed J Ali whose telephone number is (703) 305-8106. The

examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8-5:30, 2nd Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Meng-Ai T An can be reached on (703) 305-9678. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Syed Ali

January 27, 2005

MÉNG-AL T. AN

SUPERVISURY FAILER 2100

Page 6